

Oxobiodegradable Plastic

Article contributed by
Professor Gerald Scott DSc,
FRSC, C.Chem, FIMMM

Professor Emeritus
in Chemistry and Polymer Science
of Aston University UK

Chairman of the
British Standards Institute Committee
on Biodegradability of Plastics

Chairman of the
Scientific Advisory Board of the
Oxo-biodegradable Plastics
Association.

I have been asked by Symphony Environmental Technologies (UK) to respond to a request from Bioplastics Magazine for an article about their d2w Controlled-life plastics, which degrade by a process of oxo-biodegradation¹. My views are based on the research carried out in my own and in many other laboratories throughout the world since my original patent was filed in 1971, and on my review of independent test reports carried out on d2w products.

Let us be clear at the outset that oxo-biodegradable plastic is not normally marketed for composting, and it is not designed for anaerobic digestion nor for degradation deep in landfill. Let us also be clear that oxo-biodegradable plastic is not designed to merely fragment – it is designed to be completely bioassimilated by naturally-occurring micro-organisms in a timescale longer than that required for composting (180 days) but shorter than for nature's wastes such as leaves and twigs (10 years or more), and much shorter than for normal plastics (many decades). All plastics will eventually become embrittled, and will fragment and be bioassimilated, but the difference made by oxo-biodegradable technology is that the process is accelerated.

Oxo-biodegradable plastic is intended to address the environmental problem caused by plastic waste which gets accidentally or deliberately into the open environment. This is a well known problem in all countries, and cannot be ignored by calling it a behavioural issue. Oxo-biodegradable plastic is designed to harmlessly degrade then biodegrade in the presence of oxygen and to return the carbon in the plastic to the natural biological cycle. Accordingly, tests in anaerobic conditions or in composting conditions are not appropriate

Industrial composting is not the same as biodegradation in the environment, as it is a process operated according to a much shorter timescale than the processes of nature. EN13432 (and similar composting standards such as ISO 17088, ASTM D6400, ASTM D6868, and Australian 4736-2006) are not relevant to oxo-biodegradable plastic. Indeed EN13432 itself says that is not appropriate for plastic waste which may end up in the environment through uncontrolled means.

Oxo-biodegradable plastic products are normally tested according to ASTM D6954-04 'Standard Guide for Exposing and Testing Plastics that Degrade in the Environment by a Combination of Oxidation and Biodegradation'. There are two types of Standards – Standard Guides and Standard Specifications ASTM 6954 is an acknowledged and respected Standard Guide for performing laboratory tests on oxo-biodegradable plastic. It has been developed and published by ASTM International – the American standards organisation – and the second Tier is directed specifically to proving biodegradation.

Tests performed according to ASTM D6954-04 tell industry and consumers what they need to know – namely whether the plastic is (a) degradable (b) biodegradable and (c) non phyto-toxic. It is not necessary to refer to a Standard Specification unless it is desired to use the material for a particular purpose such as composting, and ASTM D6954-04 provides that if composting is the designated disposal route, ASTM D6400 should be used.

ASTM D6954-04 not only provides detailed test methods but it also provides pass/fail criteria. The oxobiodegradable plastics most commonly used consist of

Source:
bioplastics MAGAZINE
Click here!

Source:
bioplastics MAGAZINE
Click here!

single polymers to which section 6.6.1 applies. This section requires that 60 % of the organic carbon must be converted to carbon dioxide. Therefore if the material does not achieve 60% mineralisation the test cannot be completed and the material cannot be certified.

Having achieved 60% mineralisation, the Note to 6.6.1 provides that testing may be continued to better determine the length of time the materials will take to biodegrade. It is not however necessary to continue the test until 100% has been achieved, because it is possible, by applying the Arrhenius relationship² to the test results, to predict the time at which that is likely to occur.

There is no requirement in ASTM D6954-04 for the plastic to be converted to CO₂ in 180 days because, while timescale is critical for a commercial composting process, it is not critical for biodegradation in the environment. Timescale in the natural environment depends on the amount of heat, light, and stress to which the material is subjected, and as indicated above, nature's wastes such as leaves twigs and straw may take ten years or more to biodegrade.

The requirement in EN13432, ASTM D6400 and similar standards for 90% conversion to CO₂ gas within 180 days is not useful even for composting, because it contributes to climate change instead of contributing to the fertility of the soil. 'Compostable' plastic, 90% of which has been converted to CO₂ gas, is virtually useless in compost, and nature's lignocellulosic wastes do not behave in this way.

The applications for which oxo-biodegradable plastics are normally used can vary from very short-life products such as bread-wrappers intended to last a few months, to durable shopping bags intended to last five years or more. The conditions under which they are likely to be discarded can also vary from cold and wet conditions to hot and dry desert conditions. It is for the companies producing or using these products to evaluate the test results to judge the suitability of the tested material for those applications and conditions, and to market them accordingly.

The pro-oxidant additives which cause accelerated degradation are usually compounds of iron, nickel, cobalt, or manganese together with carefully-formulated stabilisers, and are added to conventional plastics at the extrusion stage. These reduce the molecular weight of the material – causing it to be ultimately consumed by bacteria and fungi. Symphony's d2w additives have been tested and proved not to be phyto-toxic, and they do not contain 'heavy metals'.

Oxo-biodegradable technology is commonly used for Polyethylene and Polypropylene products, but it can also be used for Polystyrene. Experiments are continuing with PET but I am not as yet satisfied that the technology will work satisfactorily with PET. Experiments are also continuing with PVC.

Tests on oxo-biodegradable plastic products are usually conducted by independent laboratories such as Smithers-RAPRA (US/UK), Pyxis (UK), Applus (Spain), etc, according to the test methods prescribed by ASTM D6954-04. Conditions in the laboratory are designed to simulate so far as possible conditions in the real world, but have to be accelerated in order that tests may be done in a reasonable time. Pre-treatment does not invalidate the results.

1: *Oxo-biodegradation is defined by CEN/TR15351-06 as "degradation identified as resulting from oxidative and cell-mediated phenomena, either simultaneously or successively."*

2: *See eg. Jakubowicz, :Polym. Deg. Stab. 80,39-43 [2003]*

3: *See D. Gilead and G. Scott "Developments in Polymer Stabilisation"-5. App. sci. Pub., 1982, Chapter 4 and references therein for details of environmental effects on oxo-biodegradation*

4: *There is insufficient space here for all the relevant publications, but visit www.bioplasticsmagazine.com/200906/2.pdf to see reference to some reviews for some of the recent papers*

Source:
bioplastics MAGAZINE
Click here!

In the real world the temperature of the soil varies between 0 and 50°C depending on the location. The rate of molar-mass reduction and biodegradation can be extrapolated for any soil temperature by means of the Arrhenius relationship³.

I have read many independent laboratory test reports on oxo-biodegradable materials supplied by Symphony and by other manufacturers, which are entirely consistent with the published scientific literature⁴ and with my own research. These manufacturers are not surprisingly unwilling to disclose their data to their competitors, but having seen the reports I am satisfied that if properly manufactured, oxo-biodegradable products will totally biodegrade in the presence of oxygen.

I am aware of suggestions that fragments of plastic (whether oxo-biodegradable, compostable, or normal plastic) attract toxins in a marine environment and are ingested by marine creatures. I am not however persuaded that fragments of plastic are any more likely to attract toxins than fragments of dead seaweed or any of the other trillions of fragments which are always present in the sea.

I regard it as a positive factor that oxo-biodegradable plastics are made from naphtha - a by-product of oil, which used to be wasted. For so long as the world needs petroleum fuels and lubricants for engines it makes good environmental sense to use this by-product.

I agree with the June 2009 report from Germany's Institute for Energy and Environmental Research, which concluded that oil-based plastics, especially if recycled, have a better Life-cycle Analysis than compostable plastics. They added that "The current bags made from bioplastics have less favourable environmental impact profiles than the other materials examined" and that this is due to the process of raw-material production." (see eg. www.bioplasticsmagazine.com/200906/1.pdf).

Compostable plastics are designed to be deliberately destroyed in the composting process, but oxobiodegradable plastics can be re-used many times and can be recycled if collected during their useful lifespan, which in the case of shopper-bags is about 18 months. Plastics of any kind should not be used for home-composting as they are often contaminated with meat and fish residues and temperatures may not rise high enough to kill the pathogens.

It is not desirable to send otherwise recoverable plastic to landfill, as plastic is a valuable resource. Nor is it desirable for anything to degrade in landfill unless the landfill is designed to collect the resulting gases, which most are not. However if oxo-biodegradables do end up in landfill, they are designed to disintegrate and partially biodegrade at or near the surface. Any particles deep in anaerobic landfill are minimal, and will remain inert indefinitely. They can never emit methane - unlike compostable plastics, paper, etc.

So far as recycling is concerned, oxo-biodegradable plastic can be recycled in the same way as ordinary plastic (see www.bioplasticsmagazine.com/200906). By contrast, 'compostable' plastic cannot be recycled with ordinary plastic, and will ruin the recycling process if it gets into the waste stream.

Please see www.bioplasticsmagazine.com/200906/3.pdf for a comprehensive list of Key scientific papers on the biodegradation of polyolefins.

Editor's note:

This article is based on a counterstatement by Michael Stephen, Symphony Environmental in bM issue 03/2009 page 40, which included an offer by bM to contribute a scientifically based paper and to present data (e.g. 'reports' as required in section 7 of ASTM D6954-04). However, no data using the referenced standard was provided. The literature we received, is a list of publications that can be seen at www.bioplasticsmagazine.com/200906/3.pdf

MT